Sinclair Broadcasting uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. By no stretch of the imagination do I feel that an anti-Kerry documentary serves the public interest. This is a blatant and extreme partisan act, which is clearly political maneuvering and not in the public interest. This kind of broadcasting is not valuable at any time; if someone wants to air that kind of content they should pay the price for marketing and showing it in movie theaters.

Sinclair's decision to strong-arm their stations to air or not broadcast certain programs is outrageous. This represents the worst of corporate greed, choosing what might make them money and completely ignoring what benefits the public. It clearly an example of why media consolidation is not in the public interest. Media would benefit the public more if it was owned independently and not simply a huge conglomerate with no accountability to anyone. Our media should provide local and substantive programming. The more consolidation, the less choice of news the public has and that is a crime that can and should be stopped.

I object to this use of our public airwaves!!

Thank you for listening to my comments.